arXiv:1406.7083v1 [math.CV] 27 Jun 2014

[1]

NORM OF THE BERGMAN PROJECTION ONTO THE BLOCH SPACE

DAVID KALAJ AND ĐORĐIJE VUJADINOVIĆ

ABSTRACT. We consider weighted Bergman projection $P_\alpha : L^\infty(\mathbb{B}) \to \mathcal{B}$ where $\alpha > -1$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is the Bloch space of the unit ball $\mathbb{B}$ of the complex space $\mathbb{C}^n$. We obtain the exact norm of the operator P_α where the Bloch space is observed as a space with norm (and semi-norm) induced from the Besov space $B_p, 0 < p < \infty, (B_\infty = \mathcal{B})$. Our work contains, as a special case, the main results from [3] and [6].

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Throughout this paper we denote by $\mathbb{C}^n$ complex $n-$dimensional space. Here n is an integer greater than or equal to 1. As usually $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ represents the inner product in $\mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\langle z, w \rangle = z_1 \bar{w}_1 + \cdots + z_n \bar{w}_n, \;\; z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where $z = (z_1, ..., z_n)$ and $w = (w_1, ..., w_n)$ are coordinate representations in the standard base $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$. The Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is given by

$$|z| = \langle z, z \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let us denote by $\mathbb{B}$ the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$, $\mathbb{B} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathbb{S}$ denotes its boundary.

The volume measure dv in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is normalized, i.e. $v(\mathbb{B}) = 1$. Also, we are going to treat a class of weighted measures dv_α on $\mathbb{B}$, which are defined by

$$dv_\alpha(z) = c_\alpha (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dv(z), \;\; z \in \mathbb{B}$$

where $\alpha > -1$, and c_α is a constant such that $v_\alpha(\mathbb{B}) = 1$. Direct calculation gives:

$$c_\alpha = \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}{n! \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}.$$

We let σ be unitary-invariant positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{S}$ for which $\sigma(\mathbb{S}) = 1$. The term "unitary-invariant" refers to the unitary transformations of $\mathbb{C}^n$. More precisely, if U is unitary transformation of $\mathbb{C}^n$, then for any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{S}, d\sigma)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} f(U\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} f(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta).$$

The automorphism group of $\mathbb{B}$ denoted by $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathbb{B})$ consists of all bi-holomorphic mappings of $\mathbb{B}$ (see [5]). A special class of automorphism group are involutive automorphisms, which are, for any point $a \in \mathbb{B}$, defined as

$$\varphi_a(w) = \frac{a - \frac{\langle w, a \rangle a}{|a|^2} - \sqrt{1 - |a|^2}(w - \frac{\langle w, a \rangle a}{|a|^2})}{1 - \langle z, a \rangle}, \;\; w \in \mathbb{B}.$$

[1]2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 30H20, 30H30

Key words and phrases. Bergman projection, Bloch space, weak type.

When $a = 0$, we define $\varphi_a = -\mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{B}}$. We should observe that, $\varphi_a(0) = a$ and $\varphi_a \circ \varphi_a = \mathbf{Id}_{\mathbb{B}}$.

In the case when we treat $\mathbb{C}^n$ as the real $2n-$dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, the real Jacobian of φ_a is given by

$$(J_R\varphi_a)(w) = \left(\frac{1-|a|^2}{|1-\langle a,w\rangle|^2}\right)^{n+1}.$$

We are going to use the following identities ($a \in \mathbb{B}$)

$$1-|\varphi_a(w)|^2 = \frac{(1-|a|^2)(1-|z|^2)}{|1-\langle w,a\rangle|^2}, \ \ z \in \mathbb{B} \tag{1}$$

and

$$1-\langle \varphi_a(z),\varphi_a(w)\rangle = \frac{(1-\langle a,a\rangle)(1-\langle z,w\rangle)}{(1-\langle z,a\rangle)(1-\langle a,w\rangle)}, z,w \in \mathbb{B}. \tag{2}$$

Traditionally, $H(\mathbb{B})$ denotes the space of all holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{B}$ and the space of all bounded holomorphic functions is denoted by $H^\infty(\mathbb{B})$.

The complex gradient of holomorphic function $f \in H(\mathbb{B})$ is defined as

$$\nabla f(z) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(z), ..., \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}(z)\right), \ \ z \in \mathbb{B}.$$

The Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$ contains all holomorphic functions in $\mathbb{B}$ with finite semi-norm

$$\|f\|_\beta = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}}(1-|z|^2)|\nabla f(z)|.$$

We can obtain proper norm by adding $|f(0)|$, i.e.

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = |f(0)| + \|f\|_\beta.$$

The Bloch space is the Banach space in above norm. More information about the Bloch space reader can find in [8].

The Bergman projection operator P_α $(\alpha > -1)$ is a central mapping in the study of analytic function spaces and it is defined as follows:

$$P_\alpha f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} \mathcal{K}_\alpha(z,w) f(w) dv_\alpha(w), \ \ f \in L^p(\mathbb{B}, dv_\alpha),$$

where $L^p(\mathbb{B}, dv_\alpha)$ is the Lebesgue space of all measurable functions on $\mathbb{B}$ in which modulus with exponent p $(1 \le p < \infty)$ is integrable on $\mathbb{B}$ with respect to the measure dv_α. The case $p = \infty$ corresponds to the space of essentially bounded functions in the unit ball. Here

$$\mathcal{K}_\alpha(z,w) = \frac{1}{(1-\langle z,w\rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}}, \ \ z,w \in \mathbb{B}$$

is the weighted Bergman kernel. Concerning the Bergman projection, the following two questions are of the main interest for research: establishing the boundedness and determining the exact norm.

Here we want to point out that the Bergman projection $P_\alpha : L^\infty(\mathbb{B}) \to \mathcal{B}$ is bounded and onto (see [8]).

In the case when $n = 1$ for the semi-norm $\|f\| = \sup_{|z|<1}(1-|z|^2)|f'(z)|$, Perälä (see [6]) determined the norm of the Bergman projection. He obtained that $\|P\| = \sup_{\|f\|\le 1}\|Pf\| = \frac{8}{\pi}$. A generalization of this result in the unit ball $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ was done by Kalaj and Marković (see [3]), where it is shown that $\|P\| = \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+2)}{\Gamma^2(\frac{n+\alpha+2}{2})}$.

Later, in work of Perälä (see [7]), the author completed his earlier result from [6] and its generalization in [3] by finding the norm of the Bergman projection w.r.t. to the proper norm of the Bloch space. We remark that calculating the exact norm of Bergman projection P on L^p spaces $1 < p < \infty$ is a long-standing problem and only partial results are known, see [9, 1].

There are several ways to define norm on the Bloch space, which makes it the Banach space. To this and, let us recall a definition of the Besov space B_p $(0 < p < \infty)$ in unit ball $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ (for a reference see [8]).
The Besov space B_p contains all holomorphic functions f in $\mathbb{B}$ such that norm

$$\|f\|^p_{B_p} = \sum_{|m|\leq N-1} \left|\frac{\partial^{|m|} f}{\partial z^m}(0)\right|^p + \sum_{|m|=N} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left|(1-|z|^2)^N \frac{\partial^N f}{\partial z^m}(z)\right|^p d\tau(z), \tag{3}$$

is finite, where N is a positive integer such that $pN > n$. The measure $d\tau$ is given by

$$d\tau(z) = \frac{dv(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^{n+1}}, \;\; z \in \mathbb{B}$$

and multi-index m, represents n-tuples of non-negative integers, $m = (m_1, ..., m_n)$, where $|m| = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i$.
By the semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\beta_p}$ in the Besov space B_p $(0 < p < \infty)$ we imply

$$\|f\|^p_{\beta_p} = \sum_{|m|=N} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left|(1-|z|^2)^N \frac{\partial^N f}{\partial z^m}(z)\right|^p d\tau(z).$$

When $p = \infty$ the Besov space B_p is the Bloch space, $B_\infty = \mathcal{B}$. We want to define norm (semi-norm) on the Bloch space B_∞ induced from the Besov space B_p as $p \to \infty$.

Before we find explicit formula for the norm in the mentioned case, let us state short version of [8, Theorem 3.5].

Theorem 1. *Suppose N is a positive integer, and f is holomorphic in $\mathbb{B}$, then following conditions are equivalent:*
(1)$f \in \mathcal{B}$

(2)The functions

$$(1-|z|^2)^N \frac{\partial^N f}{\partial z^m}(z), \;\; |m| = N,$$

are bounded in $\mathbb{B}$.

Now we prove the following lemma:

Lemma 2. *Let $B_p, 1 < p < \infty$, be the Besov space and $\|\cdot\|_p$ is the Besov norm defined by (3) . Then*

$$\|f\|_{\beta_p} \to \|f\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}, \;\; p \to \infty \;\; \textit{provided} \;\; f \in B_r \cap \mathcal{B} \;\; \textit{for some} \;\; r \in (1, \infty),$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} = \max_{|m|=N} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}} (1-|z|^2)^N \left|\frac{\partial^N f}{\partial z^m}(z)\right|. \tag{1}$$

Proof: We will prove the lemma in more general setting. Namely, if $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^N$ is a sequence of measurable functions on the measure space (Ω,μ) such that

$$f_k\in L^r(\Omega,\mu)\cap L^\infty(\Omega,\mu),\ \ k=1,...,N,\ \ \text{for some}\ \ r\in(1,\infty),$$

then

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^N\|f_k\|_p^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\to\max_{1\le k\le N}\|f_k\|_\infty.$$

The last relation is an easy consequence of the following relation $\lim_{p\to\infty}\|f_k\|_p\to\|f_k\|_\infty$ (see e.g. [4, p. 73, Ex. 4]) and the following obvious inequalities

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^N\|f_k\|_p^p\right)^{1/p}\le N^{1/p}\max_k\{\|f_k\|_p\},$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^N\|f_k\|_p^p\right)^{1/p}\ge\max_k\{\|f_k\|_p\}.$$

It follows that if $f\in B_r\cap\mathcal{B}$ for some $r>1$, then

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}=\lim_{p\to\infty}\|f\|_{\beta_p}=\max_{|m|=N}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}}\left|(1-|z|^2)^N\frac{\partial^N f}{\partial z^m}(z)\right|.$$

❑

Let us notice that in the same way

$$\left(\sum_{|m|\le N-1}\left|\frac{\partial^{|m|}f}{\partial z^m}(0)\right|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\to\max_{|m|\le N-1}\left|\frac{\partial^{|m|}f}{\partial z^m}(0)\right|,\ \ \text{as}\ \ p\to\infty.$$

Thus, we define the proper norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ on the Bloch space as follows

(4)
$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}=\max_{|m|\le N-1}\left|\frac{\partial^{|m|}f}{\partial z^m}(0)\right|+\max_{|m|=N}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}}\left|(1-|z|^2)^N\frac{\partial^N f}{\partial z^m}(z)\right|,\ \ f\in\mathcal{B},\ \ N\in\mathbf{N},$$

and semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}$ is defined as

(5)
$$\|f\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}=\max_{|m|=N}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}}\left|(1-|z|^2)^N\frac{\partial^N f}{\partial z^m}(z)\right|,\ \ f,\in\mathcal{B}\ \ N\in\mathbf{N}.$$

Although in definition (3) of the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ for the Besov space B_p we have condition $pN>n$, by the formula (4) we can define $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{B}$ for any N. This is not surprising because $\infty\cdot N>n$.

The proof of the next lemma is straightforward and we omit the proof.

Lemma 3. *The Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$ is a Banach space in the norm (4)*

In the sequel, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$-norm and $\mathcal{B}$-norm of the Bergman projection $P_\alpha:L^\infty\to\mathcal{B}$ are

(6)
$$\|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}=\sup_{\|g\|_\infty\le1}\|P_\alpha g\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}},$$

and

(7)
$$\|P_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{B}}=\sup_{\|g\|_\infty\le1}\|P_\alpha g\|_{\mathcal{B}},$$

respectively.

Now we state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4. *Let P_α be the Bergman projection $P_\alpha : L^\infty(\mathbb{B}) \to \mathcal{B}$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is the Bloch space with the norm (4). Then*

$$\|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} = \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)\Gamma(N)}{\Gamma^2(\frac{N}{2}+\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2})}.$$

Theorem 5. *Let P_α be the Bergman projection $P_\alpha : L^\infty(\mathbb{B}) \to \mathcal{B}$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is Bloch space in norm (4). Then*

$$\|P_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)\Gamma(\frac{1+N}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1+N}{2}+\alpha+n)} + \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)\Gamma(N)}{\Gamma^2(\frac{N}{2}+\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2})}, \;\; N \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Let us notice that when $N = 1$ for the $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$-norm of the Bergman projection we have $\|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} = \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+2)}{\Gamma^2(\frac{n+\alpha+2}{2})}$ and this is one of the main results in [3]. For the special case $n = 1$, we obtain $\|P\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} = \frac{8}{\pi}$, which coincides with the main result of Perälä in [6].

2. Proof of Theorem 4 and Theorem 5

Before we start to prove Theorem 4, let us state [3, Lemma 3.3].

Lemma 6. *For a multi-index $m = (m_1, ..., m_n) \in \mathbf{N}_0^{\mathrm{n}}$ we have*

$$\int_S |\zeta^m| d\sigma(\zeta) = \frac{(n-1)! \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(1+\frac{m_i}{2})}{\Gamma(n+\frac{|m|}{2})} \tag{8}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} |z^m| dv_\alpha(z) = \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha+n)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha+n+\frac{|m|}{2})} \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(1+\frac{m_i}{2}) \tag{9}$$

Here $w^m := \prod_{i=1}^n w_i^{m_i}$, and $|m| = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i$.

Proof: Let P be the Bergman projection, $P : L^\infty(\mathbb{B}) \to \mathcal{B}$. Since P is onto, for any $f \in \mathcal{B}$ there is $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{B})$ such that $f = Pg$, i.e.

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{g(w)}{(1-\langle z,w\rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} dv_\alpha(w), z \in \mathbb{B}. \tag{10}$$

Differenting under integral sign in (10) we obtain

(11)

$$\|P_\alpha g\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} = \max_{|m|=N} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}} (1-|z|^2)^N \left| \frac{\partial^N f(z)}{\partial z^m} \right|$$

$$\leq \|g\|_\infty \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} \max_{|m|=N} \sup_{z\in B_n} (1-|z|^2)^N \int_{B_n} \frac{|h_m(w)|}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+1+N+\alpha}} dv_\alpha(w).$$

Thus, we have

(12)

$$\|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} \leq \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} \max_{|m|=N} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}} (1-|z|^2)^N \int_{B_n} \frac{|h_m(w)|}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+1+N+\alpha}} dv_\alpha(w),$$

where $h_m(\bar{w}) = \bar{w}^m = (\bar{w}_1)^{m_1}...(\bar{w}_n)^{m_n}, \sum m_n = N$.

For a fixed $z \in \mathbb{B}$ let us make the change of variable $w = \varphi_z(\omega)$. By using the following formula for the real Jacobian

$$(J_R\varphi_z)(\omega) = \left(\frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^2}\right)^{n+1},$$

and identity (1) we obtain

(13)

$$dv_\alpha(w) = c_\alpha(1-|w|^2)^\alpha dv(w) = c_\alpha\left(\frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^2}\right)^{n+1}\frac{(1-|z|^2)^\alpha(1-|\omega|^2)^\alpha}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{2\alpha}}dv(\omega)$$

By plugging (13) in (12) we obtain

(14)

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} &\le \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}\max_{|m|=N}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}}(1-|z|^2)^N\int_{\mathbb{B}}\frac{|h_m(w)|}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{n+N+\alpha+1}}dv_\alpha(w)\\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}\max_{|m|=N}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}}\int_{\mathbb{B}}\frac{|h_m(\varphi_z(\omega))|}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n-N+\alpha+1}}dv_\alpha(\omega).\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore

(15)

$$\begin{aligned}&\|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} \le \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}\max_{|m|=N}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}}\int_{\mathbb{B}}\frac{|h_m(\varphi_z(\omega))|}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n-N+\alpha+1}}dv_\alpha(\omega)\\ &\le \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}\max_{|m|=N}\left(\sup_{\omega\in\mathbb{B}}|h_m(\omega)|\right)\sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}}\int_{\mathbb{B}}\frac{1}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n-N+\alpha+1}}dv_\alpha(\omega).\end{aligned}$$

Further, let us note that for every polynomial h_m, $|h_m(\omega)| \le 1$. The maximal value is attained, for example when $h_{(N,0,\dots,0)}(\omega) = h_1(\omega) = \omega_1^N$ and $\omega = e_1$. So we conclude

$$\|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} \le \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}}\int_{\mathbb{B}}\frac{1}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n-N+\alpha+1}}dv_\alpha(\omega). \tag{16}$$

Our next goal is to determine maximum of the function $m(z)$, where

$$m(z) = \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}\int_{\mathbb{B}}\frac{dv_\alpha(\omega)}{|1-\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{n-N+\alpha+1}},\ \ z\in\mathbb{B}.$$

By using the uniform convergence, the fact that $\langle z,\omega\rangle^{k_1}$ and $\langle z,\omega\rangle^{k_2}$ $(k_1,k_2 \in \mathbf{N}, k_1 \ne k_2)$ are orthogonal in $L^2(\mathbb{B}, dv_\alpha(\omega))$, and polar coordinates we obtain the following sequence of equalities

(17)

$$\begin{aligned}m(z) &= \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}\int_{\mathbb{B}}\frac{dv_\alpha(\omega)}{|1-\langle\zeta,\omega\rangle|^{n-N+\alpha+1}}dv_\alpha(\omega)\\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{\Gamma(k+\lambda)}{k!\Gamma(\lambda)}\right|^2\int_{\mathbb{B}}|\langle z,\omega\rangle|^{2k}dv_\alpha(\omega)\\ &= \frac{2n\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)}{n!\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{\Gamma(k+\lambda)}{k!\Gamma(\lambda)}\right|^2\int_0^1 r^{2n+2k-1}(1-r^2)^\alpha dr\int_S|\langle z,\xi\rangle|^{2k}d\sigma(\xi)\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = \frac{n-N+\alpha+1}{2}$ and $\omega = r\xi, |\xi| = 1$.

The unitary matrix U, $U\xi = \xi'(\xi' = (\xi'_1, ..., \xi'_n), \xi'_1 = \frac{\langle \xi, z\rangle}{|z|})$ constructed in Zhu (see [8, P. 15]) applied on the last surface integral gives

(18)
$$m(z) = \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)}{n!\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\Gamma(k+\lambda)}{k!\Gamma(\lambda)} \right|^2 \frac{n\Gamma(n+k)\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+k+\alpha+1)} \int_S |\xi'_1|^{2k} d\sigma(\xi')|z|^{2k}.$$

Finally, by Lemma 6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(z) &= \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma^2(\lambda)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma^2(k+\lambda)}{k!\Gamma(n+k+\alpha+1)} |z|^{2k} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} {}_2F_1(\lambda;\lambda;n+\alpha+1,|z|^2), \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where ${}_2F_1(\lambda;\lambda;n+\alpha+1,|z|^2)$ is the hypergeometric function, i.e. in general

$${}_2F_1(a;b;c,x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{n!(c)_n} x^n$$

where $(a)_n = a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1)$ is the Pochhammer symbol (see [2]). By using the formula

$$\frac{d}{dx} {}_2F_1(a,b;c;x) = \frac{ab}{c} {}_2F_1(a+1,b+1;c+1;x),$$

we conclude that the maximum of ${}_2F_1(\lambda;\lambda;n+\alpha+1,|z|^2)$ is ${}_2F_1(\lambda;\lambda;n+\alpha+1,1)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}} m(z) &= \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} {}_2F_1(\lambda;\lambda;n+\alpha+1,1) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(N)}{\Gamma^2(\frac{N}{2}+\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2})}, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

i.e.

$$\|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} \leq \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)\Gamma(N)}{\Gamma^2(\frac{N}{2}+\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2})}, \quad N \in \mathbf{N} \tag{21}$$

In the relation (20) we used the Gauss identity for hypergeometric functions. Namely, for $\mathrm{Re}(c-a-b) > 0$, we have

$${}_2F_1(a;b;c,1) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}.$$

Let us prove the opposite inequality. Since the function $|h_m(\omega)|$ is subharmonic in $\mathbb{B}$, there exists $\zeta_0 \in S$ such that

$$\max_{|\zeta|=1} |h_m(\zeta)| = |h_m(\zeta_0)|.$$

As we already pointed out if $h_k(\omega) = \omega_k^N$ and $\zeta_0 = e_k$, $(h_k(\omega) = h_{(0,..,N,..,0)}(w))$, then $|h_k(\zeta_0)| = 1$. We fix $z_r = r\zeta_0$, and the function $g_{z_r}(w) = \frac{(1-\langle z_r,w\rangle)^{n+N+\alpha+1}}{|1-\langle z_r,w\rangle|^{n+N+\alpha+1}}$. It

is clear that $\|g_{z_r}\|_\infty = 1$. Then

(22)
$$\begin{aligned}\|P_\alpha g_{z_r}\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} &= \frac{\Gamma(N+n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} \max_{|m|=N} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}} (1-|z|^2)^N \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{g_{z_r}(w) h_m(w) dv_\alpha(w)}{(1-\langle z,w\rangle)^{n+N+\alpha+1}} \right| \\ &\geq \frac{\Gamma(N+n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} \max_{|m|=N} (1-|z_r|^2)^N \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{h_m(w) dv_\alpha(w)}{|1-\langle z_r,w\rangle|^{n+N+\alpha+1}} \right|.\end{aligned}$$

By using the change of variable, $w \to \varphi_{z_r}(\omega)$, as in the previous case we have

$$\|P_\alpha g_{z_r}\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} \geq \frac{\Gamma(N+n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} \max_{|m|=N} \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{h_m(\varphi_{z_r}(w)) dv_\alpha(w)}{|1-\langle z_r,w\rangle|^{n-N+\alpha+1}} \right|. \tag{23}$$

Since

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{h_m(\varphi_{z_r}(\omega)) dv_\alpha(\omega)}{|1-\langle z_r,w\rangle|^{n-N+\alpha+1}} \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{dv_\alpha(\omega)}{|1-\langle z_r,\omega\rangle|^{n-N+\alpha+1}} < \infty,$$

we can apply the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem in order to obtain

(24)
$$\begin{aligned}\|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} &\geq \lim_{r\to 1^-} \frac{\Gamma(N+n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} \max_{|m|=N} \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{h_m(\varphi_{z_r}(w)) dv_\alpha(w)}{|1-\langle z_r,w\rangle|^{n-N+\alpha+1}} \right| \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(N+n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} \max_{|m|=N} \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{h_m(\zeta_0) dv_\alpha(w)}{|1-\langle \zeta_r,w\rangle|^{n-N+\alpha+1}} \right|.\end{aligned}$$

We used in (24) that $\varphi_{\zeta_0}(w) = \zeta_0$ when $|\zeta_0| = 1$. Finally, from (24) we obtain

(25)
$$\begin{aligned}\|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} &\geq \frac{\Gamma(N+n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} |h_m(\zeta_0)| \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{dv_\alpha(w)}{|1-\langle \xi,w\rangle|^{n-N+\alpha+1}} \right| \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)\Gamma(N)}{\Gamma^2(\frac{N}{2}+\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2})}.\end{aligned}$$

❑

Now we prove Theorem 5.

Proof: We use the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 4. Let $f(z) = P_\alpha(g)(z), z \in \mathbb{B}$, where $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{B}), f \in \mathcal{B}$.
Then

(26)
$$\begin{aligned}\|P_\alpha g\|_{\mathcal{B}} &= \max_{|m|\leq N-1} \left| \frac{\partial^{|m|} f}{\partial z^m}(0) \right| + \max_{|m|=N} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}} (1-|z|^2)^N \left| \frac{\partial^N f}{\partial z^m}(z) \right| \\ &\leq \|g\|_\infty \max_{|m|\leq N-1} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |h_m(w)| dv_\alpha(w) + \|g\|_\infty \|P\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}},\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\|P\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \max_{|m|\leq N-1} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |h_m(w)| dv_\alpha(w) + \|P\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}.$$

By using Lemma 6 and the polar coordinates, we obtain

(27)
$$\begin{aligned}\|P\|_{\mathcal{B}} &\leq \max_{|m|\leq N-1} \frac{\Gamma(|m|+n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{|m|}{2}+\alpha+n+1)} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma(1+\frac{m_j}{2}) + \|P\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)\Gamma(\frac{1+N}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1+N}{2}+\alpha+n)} + \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)\Gamma(N)}{\Gamma^2(\frac{N}{2}+\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2})}.\end{aligned}$$

In order to prove the opposite inequality we make use of the functions

$$g_{z_r}(w) = \frac{(1-\langle z_r, w\rangle)^{n+N+\alpha+1}}{|1-\langle z_r, w\rangle|^{n+N+\alpha+1}}, \ \ w \in \mathbb{B}$$

which we used in the proof of Theorem 4 to maximize $\|P_\alpha f\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}$. We define new test functions $g^\delta_{z_r}$ with $\|g^\delta_{z_r}\|_\infty \le 1$ as follows:

$$g^\epsilon_{z_r}(w) = \begin{cases} g_{z_r}(w), & |w| \ge \delta \\ \frac{w_1^{N-1}}{|w_1|^{N-1}}, & |w| \le \delta^2 \end{cases}$$

and define $g^\delta_{z_r}$ on $\{\delta^2 < |w| < \delta\}$ so that $g^\delta_{z_r}$ is continuous on $\mathbb{B}$.
We claim that

$$(1-|z_r|^2)^N \max_{|m|=N} \left| \frac{\partial^N P g^\delta_{z_r}}{z^m}(z_r) \right| \to \|P\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}, \ \text{ as } \ r \to 1^-.$$

Namely, it is clear by the definition of the semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ that

$$\limsup_{r\to 1^-} (1-|z_r|^2)^N \max_{|m|=N} \left| \frac{\partial^N P g^\delta_{z_r}}{z^m}(z_r) \right| \le \|P\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}.$$

Also, we have shown in the proof of Theorem 4 that

$$\lim_{r\to 1^-} (1-|z_r|^2)^N \left| \frac{\partial^N P_\alpha g_{z_r}}{z^m}(z_r) \right| = \|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}.$$

Since $|g_{z_r}(w) - g^\delta_{z_r}(w)| \le 2$ on $\mathbb{B}$ and $|g_{z_r}(w) - g^\delta_{z_r}(w)| = 0$ when $|w| > \delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(1-|z_r|^2)^N \max_{|m|=N} \left| \frac{\partial^N P_\alpha g_{z_r}}{z^m}(z_r) - \frac{\partial^N P_\alpha g^\delta_{z_r}}{z^m}(z_r) \right| \\ &\quad = (1-|z_r|^2)^N \max_{|m|=N} \left| \frac{\partial^N P_\alpha (g_{z_r} - g^\delta_{z_r})}{z^m}(z_r) \right| \\ &\quad \le \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} \int_{|w|<\delta} \frac{2(1-|z_r|^2)^N dv_\alpha(w)}{|1-\langle z_r, w\rangle|^{n+N+\alpha+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

The right hand side in (28) goes to 0 as $r \to 1^-$.
Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{r\to 1^-} (1-|z_r|^2)^N \max_{|m|=N} \left| \frac{\partial^N P_\alpha g^\delta_{z_r}}{z^m}(z_r) \right| \\ &\quad = \lim_{r\to 1^-} (1-|z_r|^2)^N \max_{|m|=N} \left| \frac{\partial^N P_\alpha g_{z_r}}{z^m}(z_r) \right| \\ &\quad = \|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Furthermore, for every $r \in (0,1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{\partial^{N-1} P(g^\delta_{z_r})}{z_1^{N-1}}(0) \right| \ge \int_{|w|\le\delta^2} |w_1|^{N-1} dv_\alpha(w) - \int_{|w|>\delta^2} dv_\alpha \\ &\to \int_{\mathbb{B}} |w_1|^{N-1} dv_\alpha(w) = \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)\Gamma(\frac{1+N}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1+N}{2}+\alpha+n)} \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

as $\delta \to 1^-$. It is clear that in (30) we might observe any partial derivative $\frac{\partial^{N-1} P(g^\delta_{z_r})}{z_k^{N-1}}(0)$, where $k = 1, ..., n$.
For given $\epsilon > 0$, we may pick $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{N-1} P g^\delta_{z_r}}{z_1^{N-1}}(0) \right| > \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)\Gamma(\frac{1+N}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1+N}{2}+\alpha+n)} - \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

for every $r \in (0,1)$. We fix such δ. According to the relation (29), one can pick $r \in (0,1)$ such that

$$(1-|z_r|^2)^N \max_{|m|=N} \left| \frac{\partial^N P_\alpha g^\delta_{z_r}}{z^m}(z_r) \right| > \|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Then, we can end up with a function $g^\delta_{z_r}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{B}} &\geq \|P_\alpha g^\delta_{z_r}\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\geq \left| \frac{\partial^{N-1} P(g^\delta_{z_r})}{z_1^{N-1}}(0) \right| + (1-|z_r|^2)^N \max_{|m|=N} \left| \frac{\partial^N P_\alpha g^\delta_{z_r}}{z^m}(z_r) \right| \\ &> \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)\Gamma(\frac{1+N}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1+N}{2}+\alpha+n)} + \|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} - \epsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Therefore, $\|P_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{B}} \geq \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)\Gamma(\frac{1+N}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1+N}{2}+\alpha+n)} + \|P_\alpha\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}$, and combining with relation (27) proves the theorem. ❑

Remark 7. If P_α is Bergman projection, $P_\alpha : L^\infty(\mathbb{B}) \to \mathcal{B}$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is Bloch space in the norm (4), then it is easy to find the lower estimate for the $\mathcal{B}$-norm of P_α i.e.

$$\|P_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{B}} \geq \frac{\Gamma(N+n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}.$$

Namely, we fix $z_0 \in \mathbb{B}$ and we make use of the function $g_{z_0}(w) = \frac{(1-\langle z_0, w\rangle)^N}{(1-\langle w, z_0\rangle)^N}$. It is clear that $g_{z_0} \in L^\infty$, $\|g_{z_0}\| = 1$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_\alpha g_{z_0}\|_{\mathcal{B}} &= \frac{\Gamma(N+n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} \max_{|m|=N} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}} (1-|z|^2)^N \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{g_{z_0}(w) h_m(w)}{(1-\langle z, w\rangle)^{n+N+\alpha+1}} dv_\alpha(w) \right| \\ &\geq \frac{\Gamma(N+n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} \max_{|m|=N} (1-|z_0|^2)^N \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{\frac{h_m(w)}{(1-\langle w, z_0\rangle)^N}}{(1-\langle z_0, w\rangle)^{n+\alpha+1}} dv_\alpha(w) \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

On the other hand, it holds $\frac{h_m(w)}{(1-\langle w, z_0\rangle)^N} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B})$, and this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{B}} &\geq \frac{\Gamma(N+n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} \max_{|m|=N} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}} |h_m(z)| \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(N+n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Remark 8. We want to emphasize that on the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}$ we may observe the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_p} = \sum_{|m|\leq N-1} \left|\frac{\partial^{|m|} f}{\partial z^m}(0)\right| + \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}}(1-|z|^2)^N \left(\sum_{|m|=N}\left|\frac{\partial^N f}{\partial z^m}(z)\right|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \tag{34}$$

and the semi-norm

$$\|f\|_{\beta_p} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}}(1-|z|^2)^N \left(\sum_{|m|=N}\left|\frac{\partial^N f}{\partial z^m}(z)\right|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{35}$$

where $f \in \mathcal{B}, N \in \mathbf{N},\ \ 1 \leq p < \infty$.

By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 4 it can be shown that

$$\|P_\alpha\|_{\beta_p} \leq \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)\Gamma(N)}{\Gamma^2(\frac{N}{2}+\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2})} \left(\sum_{|m|=N}\max_{|\zeta|=1}|h_m(\zeta)|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In particular, when $p=2$ and $N=1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{\beta_2} &= \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}}(1-|z|^2)^N \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(z)\right|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \sup_{z\in\mathbb{B}}(1-|z|^2)^N |\nabla f(z)|\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_\alpha\|_{\beta_2} &\leq \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)\Gamma(N)}{\Gamma^2(\frac{N}{2}+\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2})} \left(\sum_{|m|=N}\max_{|\zeta|=1}|h_m(\zeta)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma(n+N+\alpha+1)\Gamma(N)}{\Gamma^2(\frac{N}{2}+\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2})}\sqrt{n}.\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

References

[1] M. Dostanić: *Two sided norm estimate of the Bergman projection on L^p spaces.* - Czechoslovak Math. J. 58:2(133), 2008, 569-575.

[2] G. Andrews, R. Askey, R. Roy, *Special functions*, Cambridge University Press (2000).

[3] D. Kalaj, M. Marković, *Norm of the Bergman projection*, to appear in Mathematica Scandinavica.

[4] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis. 3rd ed.*, New York, NY: McGraw-Hill. xiv, 416 p. (1987).

[5] W. Rudin, *Function Theory in the Unit Ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Reprint of the 1980 Edition.*, Classics in Mathematics. Berlin: Springer. xviii, 436 p. (2008).

[6] A. Perälä, *On the optimal constant for the Bergman projection onto the Bloch space*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **37**, 2012, 245–249..

[7] A. Perälä, *Bloch spaces and the norm of the Bergman projection*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., **38**, 2013, 849-853.

[8] K. Zhu, *Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Ball*, vol. 226 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2005.

[9] K. Zhu, *A sharp norm estimate of the Bergman projection on L^p spaces.* - Contemp. Math. 404, 2006, 199-205.

Faculty of natural sciences and mathematics, University of Montenegro, Džorža Vašingtona b.b. 81000, Podgorica, Montenegro
E-mail address: davidkalaj@gmail.com

Faculty of natural sciences and mathematics, University of Montenegro, Džorža Vašingtona b.b. 81000 Podgorica, Montenegro
E-mail address: djordjijevuj@t-com.me